FILED

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

OCT 19 2005

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

AGUSTIN RENTERIA PALACIOS; CIPRIANA VALLADARES ROJAS,

Petitioners,

V.

ALBERTO R. GONZALES, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 04-74187

Agency Nos. A95-180-897 A95-180-898

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 11, 2005 **

Before: HALL, T.G. NELSON and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Agustin Renteria Palacios and Cipriana Valladares Rojas, natives and citizens of Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and may not be cited to or by the courts of this circuit except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Appeals' order affirming without opinion an immigration judge's ("IJ") order denying their applications for cancellation of removal. To the extent we have jurisdiction, it is conferred by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

Even construed liberally, petitioners' pro se brief does not challenge the IJ's determination that Valladares Rojas lacked good moral character. Accordingly, petitioners have waived the good moral character issue. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 1996).

We lack jurisdiction to review the IJ's discretionary hardship determination. See Romero-Torres v. Ashcroft, 327 F.3d 887, 888 (9th Cir. 2003).

The voluntary departure period was stayed, and that stay will expire upon issuance of the mandate. *See Desta v. Ashcroft*, 365 F.3d 741 (9th Cir. 2004).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.